

Fox River Navigation System Authority
Rapide Croche Boat Transfer Station
Addendum No. 1 - DRAFT

Questions:

1. Will there be a design stipend for preliminary engineering?
 - a. *There is no stipend*
2. Please clarify 2.6 – Soils and Contamination information “Responsibility for any hazardous soil disposal will be a negotiated exception to the contract”. Who is the generator/will FRNSA retain ownership responsibility for the potential hazardous waste? Please explain how the “negotiated exception to the contract” will be handled.
 - a. *See number 12 on following page.*
3. 2.7 Historic Information. A historic study may be required based on consultation with SHPO. Per EIR by Stantec (page 6) “A historic preservation study is currently being conducted by Cornerstone Preservation”
 - a. *A historical report was included at the end of Appendix C*
4. Has a historic study been done? If so, can we get a copy?
 - a. *A historic preservation document was included at the end of Appendix C.*
5. Has there been a preliminary review by SHPO and if so, are comments available?
 - a. *Yes, and their comments were incorporated into the current design.*
 - b. *Some of their requirements included maintaining the look and feel of the existing site, minimal structure height, berms and plantings where possible, minimal cutting of existing lock walls, and no fastening to the lock walls.*
6. 3.11 DNR Permitting and Approvals. Is this list an inclusive list of permits? Are additional permits required from the Army Corps of Engineers? Coast Guard?
 - a. *As far as we know, ACOE will require a permit to cross the dam for power but we understand this will be done through Kaukauna Utility. We do not anticipate other permits with ACOE but this will need to be confirmed.*
 - b. *Based on our meeting with the DNR, the permits listed in the RFP are those required.*
7. Is funding secured? Are there any contractor requirements related funding?
 - a. *FRNSA is funding this.*
8. Can you clarify the expectation of the contractors’ involvement with SHPO and potential historic study?
 - a. *Plans will need SHPO approval*
9. Can you clarify the expectation of offering access to land covenants and restrictions on file in the FRNSA office?
 - a. *The Quit Claim deed from the Government is on file.*
10. What is the level of drawing detail expected to be included with our proposal?

Fox River Navigation System Authority
Rapide Croche Boat Transfer Station
Addendum No. 1 - DRAFT

- a. *Provide a description of what drawings will be provided prior to project.*
 - b. *Engineering committee interviews will need some level of detail as to scope.*
11. Bid form states “including taxes.” In the past, we have completed projects for the RFNSA that tax was excluded. Can you confirm taxes are to be included?
- a. *FRNSA is tax exempt. We will update the bid form.*
12. Can you clarify the expectation of the following General Site Pre-Work items;
- a. Soil testing – contamination? Hazardous material? Geotechnical report as it pertains to structure design?
 - i. *Soil bearing will be required for structural design.*
 - ii. *Excavated soils shall be maintained on site, with no testing anticipated. If required, stockpile per WDNR requirements. This may increase the total area disturbed to greater than 1 acre which will require a DNR/County permit.*
 - iii. *If obviously contaminated soils are encountered during excavation, testing and proper disposal will be required and will be addressed as a change order.*
 - b. An archaeological investigation.
 - i. *An archeological investigation per SHPO/Section 106 is required per our knowledge. There are no records of a previous investigation completed.*
13. What is the FRNSA standard signage and historic parts display allowance amount?
- a. *Standard signage allowance: TBD/Change Order*
 - b. *Historic display: this will be addressed as a change order*
14. What parts are to be salvaged for display on site, transported to Kaukauna office/storage or scrapped out. Who receives scrap value?
- a. *For on-site display: we anticipate salvaging one gate and open/close mechanics.*
 - b. *To Kaukauna: gears, pintels, other mechanical parts including hinges, valves and operating machinery. Confirm with FRNSA during removal.*
 - c. *The remainder of the items will become property of the contractor.*
15. Provide explanation as to guardrail meeting historical requirements. Use pipe of the same size as existing guarding pipe?
- a. *The guardrails along the lock and walls on the upstream side shall match the existing size and spacing. The guardrail/handrail at new walkways, stairs, retaining walls shall meet current code.*
16. Existing lock tender shack to be tested for hazardous materials (asbestos, lead, mold) and to be remediated as required. Should this item be included as an allowance?

Fox River Navigation System Authority
Rapide Croche Boat Transfer Station
Addendum No. 1 - DRAFT

- a. *These items need to be included in bid price as the restoration is a mitigation requirement by SHPO*
17. Dredge quantities have been provided in the RFP. What is the basis of payment for this item?
- a. *Per Appendix A – Section 4.3: base your bid on the 3,150 CY per conceptual drawing. Also include unit cost per CY should the quantities be more or less than the conceptual quantity.*
18. Should the KU power upgrade costs be added as an allowance?
- a. *We are currently working with KU and will forward the cost allowance to include in your bid.*
19. Is there a page limit for the proposal?
- a. *No*
20. What is the font size that can be used and can graphics be slightly smaller as long as they can be read easily?
- a. *Prefer font size minimum of 10 or 11*
21. What is the spacing that can be used?
- a. *No preference*
22. Can we use dividers between sections?
- a. *Yes*
23. Are three ring binders acceptable for the proposal content?
- a. *We recommend bound proposals to keep all information together.*
24. Section 1.4 of the RFP discusses the selection criteria for the project. It states that the Subcommittee will evaluate the proposal based up on cost but other items will be taken into consideration. Can you provide more information on how the criteria are rated or scored and how that relates to the bid of a proposer?
- a. *At this point, the items scored will include: project approach, depth of resources, special knowledge, historic preservation experience, project management plan, previous experience for similar design-build projects, history of disputes/litigation, exceptions to codes & national standards, quality assurance documentation, communication and cooperation, schedule and completion date.*
- b. *The proposed cost will be divided by the total score to determine “best value”.*
25. Does the FRNA have any utility mapping?
- a. *No*
26. Does the FRNA have any preliminary cost estimates on relocating utilities from the utility owners?

Fox River Navigation System Authority
Rapide Croche Boat Transfer Station
Addendum No. 1 - DRAFT

- a. *There is power currently on site from the dam – The new service allowance will be provided once we hear back from KU.*
 - b. *No natural gas currently on site – allowance is included in RFP*
 - c. *Water is from wells and new holding tanks will be required*
27. Can we provide an alternate cost to replace the existing lock tender shack with a historically accurate replica?
- a. *Yes, an alternate cost can be provided, but the replacement would need to be approved by SHPO. They have requested that the current shack remain and be repaired.*
 - b. *This is not a required alternate cost.*
28. Can we schedule a one-on-one design meeting with FRNSA and/or OMNNI to discuss / entertain new ideas for the design?
- a. *Yes, design meetings can be scheduled. Please contact Robert Stark at FRNSA to schedule.*
29. The PRELIMINARY layout of the site is available in AutoCAD on the ShareFile site at:
<https://omni.sharefile.com/d-s2499599f677437c9>